

Oprah v Obama: Clash of the Titans Over MK-Ultra Gate

What began as an unprecedented interview for the 'Oprah Winfrey Show' – both the President and First Lady appearing – turned ugly, *really ugly*, on April 27th. That afternoon the coalition scandal embattled U.S. leader was subjected to the harshest of critiques by a fellow Chicagoian for what the partnership knows to be the case. And instead of effecting a *mea culpa* or declining to comment when faced with her condemnation he lashed out in a manner that sent reverberations throughout the semi-public diplomatic back-channel.

The first of its kind interview on Oprah's show was broadcast on May 2nd and the next morning coalition partners were in a state of shock and astonishment at what transpired when she put the President on the MK-Ultra hot seat. He knew going into this taping one of the most powerful women in the United States and the world, and a coalition member and who'd been deceived and manipulated since the autumn of 2004, she'd put him on notice in December 2009 that the same ol' same ol' was not acceptable: *President Obama and Oprah Winfrey Geo-Politicize the White House Christmas Television Special; and Coalition Diplomacy Christmas Past.*¹

For those who've been only recently invited into the evermore public back-channel world, December '09 was a time of serious concern about what was going on and what wasn't that should have been. One circumstance was the late July White House invitation that had been confirmed and corroborated a multitude of times, plus the same from the German and British leaders mid-autumn. Another involved an event in early October that triggered the same kind of trepidation about the Chinada threat as the geo-motivated Bachman murder during the August '08 Olympics: *Employing Stealth Cognition*

¹ [Appendix MK-Ultra 5](#)

Technologies and Threatening Gruesome Surreptitious Assassination Without Culpability to Celebrate China's 60th Communist Anniversary.

What Oprah and a few others did in November and December became instances of *The Coalition's Internal Checks & Balances Mechanism: An Organic Accountability System Ensuring Objective Attainment and Protecting Canada's Interests*. Three months later the launch of the [Reform Coalition of Canada](#) and eight months later the International Criminal Court review and both were observed to have no effect; and still with not a scintilla of anything concrete being done by Obama *et al.* some partners started to and did repeatedly warn the coalition leadership they'll go public with everything. Still nothing. Then the launch of the Article 7 Accountability Institute and the internal 'mechanism' was mobilized again: *David Letterman: Contributes to the Coalition's Organic Checks and Balances.*² More nothing but diplomatic chatter.

That the FBI and DoD and CIA Inspectors General complaints led to no swift follow through on the President's December 22nd, February 28th and March 18th assurances meant there never was and will never be anything done to challenge the Chinada High Command's imperialism, build a defensive posture against stealth cognition technologies and address the Canadian lawyer's personal entitlements.

Since late February there's been flurry of MK-Ultra Gate accountability initiatives, including legal profession disbarment, and still nothing.

² Both checks and balances archive entries are compiled in Appendix MK-Ultra 6

It's because of all of these circumstances Oprah decided to get very aggressive during her First Couple interview. It's to be recalled she joined the coalition in September 2004 and is in the company of those who've been deceived and manipulated by not one, but two administrations and for the longest period of time. Her feeling of betrayal was that more acute because her guest is (i) from the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois and (ii) considered to be a friend. And when he does wrong it not only reflects badly on the office he holds, but also on everyone from there and on his respect for her. So she had the right to be and articulate her anger and frustration at being taken for a fool by presidents, secretaries of state and defence, joint chiefs chairman, CIA directors and their international counterparts.

Oprah conducted this geo-event of the highest political magnitude and historic significance in a manner that proved she truly is one of the consummate interviewers of our time. She both tapped into what is now five full years of experience engaging in Q&As with existing partners to advance the reform and accountability agenda and those who used her show as a means to announce that membership and drew heavily from the coalition community's inter-subjectivity and its conscious and subconscious power to affect dialogue, emotions and body language, especially facial expressions. Because of the need for back-channel confidentiality back to early 2006 there's been a greater employment of, reliance on and interpretive value in the more subtle aspects of communication. And only those who have access to the geo-dictionary and possess a full appreciation of the chronology of events and international context in which the conversation took place can one see precisely what's going on.

Thus Oprah's in-studio and at-home audience didn't observe and couldn't know what was happening; but the partnership sure did – and it was a moving and revealing exchange between these two titans. She, on the offensive to protect the 'soul' of American political accountability; and he the opposite, knowing he'd been exposed for an abuse of power that shocked the global community of democracy, rule of law and human rights advocates.

Implicit condemnation and an explicit demand for his removal from office began right after her two guests sat down and began talking about that day's headline story – his release of Hawaii's long-form birth certificate.

President: It seemed unlikely that my 18-year old mother had plotted at the time, saying 'you know what – he's gonna be president and so let's pay off the newspapers. So we assumed that this would just...

Oprah: ...go away...

President: ... kind of go away.



Next Oprah cites a phrase that has enormous import for the Canadian, who's worked ceaselessly over the years to help protect American national security and that of all coalition nations. It references how much he was deceived by two administrations into believing his remuneration and *quantum* was just around the corner and if he continued contributing and remained patient what he sought would materialize. That it didn't because of the secret piggybacking agenda is what motivated her to draw attention to it – and it got the kind of response she was looking for.

During the civil rights movement I remember there was this wonderful documentary called 'Eyes on the Prize' – and there was a phrase when we often talked about keepin' your eyes on the prize.



A bit later she weaves together a commentary on the scandal with the threads of political responsibility and rule of law accountability.

I was thinking about every human being on the planet with the hope for that day. [...] Our problems become your problems. You still are the first and foremost a human being who has this role that you we elected you President of the United States.

How do you compartmentalize and categorize them in such a way that you [O-S M.] can carry that burden?



Again employing the lexicon to red flag a remark as geo-relevant, she points to the amount of life threatening and life-long injury exposing work the Canadian did for the United States – in excess of 20,000 hours – and to which he’s been denied payment because of the secret MK-Ultra agenda. In a nation that prides itself on an economic culture

that elevates and protects the rule of (contract) law and fair play, what occurred is a serious violation of that trust and expectation.

Oprah: Back to the question about how you prioritize, do you wish you had started [your presidency] with the economy and not with health care if you had to do it over?

President: Oprah, I've got to tell you we did start with the economy. [...] We also put people to work building our roads, our bridges all across the country. [Oprah: Cowell M.] And so that was our first priority.



Observing and hearing what's coming at him leads him to begin responding. His first retort is to refer to the back-channel environment – the Pentagon and CIA's 'electronic room' that every coalition partner throughout the world has had access to for the purpose of creating, maintaining and strengthening a collaborative, synergistic spirit so essential to containing China and fixing Canada. He also acknowledges that when something goes awry within the partnership it becomes common knowledge very swiftly; which is an implied admission that's exactly what occurred when the Canadian had

and acted on his mid-February epiphany about what was driving coalition dysfunctionality.

President: [B.M.] The world is more integrated because of technology. We know what's happening on the other side of the world instantly.

Oprah: And that's a good thing.

President: [B.M.] And that's a good thing. But what it also means is that if there is a problem one place it can move around the globe very rapidly.



During this next round of 'checks and balances' contributions she underscores that without the private sector constituent of the coalition the Canadian would have nobody working resolutely towards him attaining contractual entitlements; so she says what many have been for over a year and currently are feeling.

Oprah: [Z-J M.] You [First Lady] and Mrs. Biden have become the voice for those people who have no voice. Yes.

President: We're very proud of that.



Then Oprah drops a bombshell – adding her voice to the many who’ve threatened to expose the MK-Ultra scandal him to the world.

Oprah: Yea, I just saw the public -- can we have a bit of that public service announcement [Bl.M.] -- you know you’ve made it when you’re on Sesame Street.



There are two categories of partner *viz.* publicity. There is America's Fourth Estate, where ABC and CBS new, '60 Minutes' and others have warned him of their willingness to trigger a Watergate-style scandal if he doesn't get ahead of this thing by addressing outstanding issues. And there is Hollywood, with the corroborated statement of intent about producing what amounts to a convergence of the 'Manchurian Candidate', 'Trueman Show' and 'All the President's Men' themes.

After referring to the Christmas special (see archive entry above) she moves on to discussing that incredible day of celebration – the November 4, 2008 election. As a means of cumulatively intensifying the pressure, she springs a photo on him that not only carries all the weight of his unlawfulness, improprieties and indiscretions and places him in the same camp as the Chinada High Command, but also underscores again his breach of the public trust.

Oprah: One of my favourite moments is that picture of you on the stage in Grant Park. What were you saying in that moment?

President: I think she was saying 'I can't believe you pulled this off'.



There have been a few notable and documented Freudian slips during the life of the back-channel environment: *British Prime Minister Brown: Helping to "Save the World": A Coalition-Relevant Freudian Slip?*. Here on Oprah's show was another.

Oprah's consternation revealed itself through a moment of humor. In response to the First Lady's description of how she's raising her two children in a most unconventional environment she employs subtle sarcasm to denounce him for the "amazing job" he's proven not to have done while leading the most powerful country in the world. Doing so triggers body language commensurate with her condemnation - he cringes, then nervously shuffles in his seat and adjusts his tie.

I think you're doing an -- and you too [Mr. President] -- but you're doing an amazing job of raising them. They're still so themselves. They're so themselves. We'll be right back with the President and the First Lady.



The interview being taped on April 27th and airing on May 2nd gave Oprah and her Harpos Productions team an opportunity to microscopically examine the interview and choose what camera angles of several to incorporate in the broadcast reel and what clips to incorporate into the 'next segment preview' when the program goes to commercial. Two in a row were scripted to both highlight and get the audience ready for the most geo-profound moments of this Titan-ic clash.

"Coming Up – [clip] Oprah: [O-S M.] What do you want your legacy to be?"



During the next two segments is where the interview gets *really ugly*. Oprah's relentless in her pursuit and her guest behaves in a most un-presidential manner and which can't but fuel the evidentiary fires.

Oprah: Just the very idea of you being here and what this Office [of the President] means [makes me nervous to conduct this interview]. What was very interesting and I was saying to my staff before you were all here before - I think this is your **third** time on the show.





[...]

President: I'm always proud of the fact that I'm a temporary occupant and it is an extraordinary privilege; and I just have to make sure that I do the best job not only on behalf of the American people but also on behalf of our history. All the previous occupants of this office, and when the next person comes [Oprah: protracted Execution M.] then you know they will be inheriting these enormous responsibilities. But also a great honor. But it's temporary.





Oprah: If you're elected for a second term [O-S M.] what do you want your legacy to be?





[...]

"Next – [clip] Oprah: [R-S M.] If you had to critique yourself from the past 2 ½ years..."



[...]

Oprah: And I was wondering growing up with people making fun of you because of the color of your skin gave you a thicker skin for all the things you're dealing with now.

President: [**Powell**-Kernan M.] It's hard to figure out now exactly...



Oprah: ...what happened...

President: ...you ended up the way you ended up. Some of it is temperament. You know we see it in our daughters.



[...]

Oprah: [R-S M.] If you had to critique yourself cause sometimes [Diaz M.] criticism is valid – if you had to critique yourself [protracted R-S M.] on the past 2 ½ years.



President: I think in the first two years we were so busy just trying to solve problems that sometimes I forgot the part of leadership is being able to tell a story about where we're going and what we're doing. We did that very well during the campaign.

Oprah: U-huh.



President: We projected an image of where America needs to go.

Oprah: U-huh.

President: And then we got in the governance of it. And all those individual pieces made sense in my own head [Oprah: end R-S M.] to our teams but we forgot to be in a conversation with the American people.





The tenaciousness of Oprah's confession extracting cross-examination was just as pronounced at the conclusion as when the interview began. Twice more she interrupts her guest to steer the dialogue in a direction that makes him again and again realize his unlawfulness and improprieties, including deceiving and manipulating her, attract the most severe consequences. In this last justified assault on his integrity, honesty and trustworthiness she puts it to him that he's lost his "connection" with what is of the highest value in American politics, which he immediately readily admits.

President: And that's more than just communication, that's more than just PR. It has to do with us being in a collective conversation about who do we want to be as a country; where do we want to go. And I think there have been times where...

Oprah: ...[Damon M.] your connection?



President: Well, it's not so much personal connection with people. It's losing that threat that helps people see...

Oprah: ... the eyes on the prize...

President: ... the eyes on the prize. And there are times when I've lost that.



Oprah: We'll be right back.

The chronology that led the President of the United States to flip the bird at one of the most powerful and admired women on the planet and every coalition partner began with the birther discussion when she told him to vacate the Office. And as the foregoing illustrates she then intensified the pressure when he

- was reminded of what the Canadian lawyer did and what he risked and sacrificed that went unrewarded;
- recalled how the coalition's communication network led the MK-Ultra Gate evidence being circulates around the world;
- was advised Oprah and other partners were the Canadian's voice where he clearly had none;
- was warned she too would help the scandal go public;

- saw the election day Clooney Maneuver photograph and fully appreciated its significance *viz.* violating the public trust to which he was obligated and drawing a parallel between his malfeasance and Chinada corruption, criminality and human rights violations;
- saw her effect a protracted Execution M. to articulate his time as president ought to be over; and
- was compelled to reflect on what his presidential legacy will not be and instead endure for the rest of his life the same kind of crushing humiliation suffered by Richard Nixon and that of his family and friends.

Observing what *prima facie* cannot but be described as infantile arrogance and a mirror image of how Chinada principles have conducted their back-channel diplomacy, the uber-interviewer demonstrates her remarkably honed skills at getting wrongdoers to look inward to conduct a self-assessment after confronting them with the error of their ways. And she did so employing the 'other' coercive gesture in the lexicon quiver – the 'gun to the head' Richie-Santelli Maneuver. He looks over to see its protractedness and she continues to employ it to drive home how much his flipping the bird at her and all partners has serious consequences for the longevity of his presidency.

What occurred demands a critical examination because it is a *major event* in the evolution and maturity of the MK-Ultra Gate scandal.

The first question to be asked is whether giving Oprah *and the entire coalition* 'the finger' was premeditated or some kind of unconscious act triggered by cumulative stresses and strains put on him by the office he holds and the Watergate stakes involved. Another is whether he's already concluded his days are numbered and in true lawyer – law professor style he's establishing a defense; namely that no president in his right mind would engage in that kind of behavior. A third

assumes he's in possession of all his senses and having a full appreciation of the inevitability of his demise asks whether he's hastening it by 'falling on his sword' to trigger a kind of fundamental reform of U.S. politics that could mitigate the tragic effects of the pending debt crisis whose effects could parallel the recent global financial meltdown and, as importantly, procure regime change in Canada and blame and contain China for its unlawfulness. Fourth, this conduct sought to convey to his international counterparts that if they don't address outstanding issues with him they'll be exposed and suffer the same fate.

Whether it's (1), (2), (3) or (4) is, however, secondary to the main issue, which is the President and cabinet colleagues and appointees so seriously violated the law that the administration is no longer worthy of the public trust and must vacate their offices. Anything less will erode the nature of and confidence in the American political system; and as argued recently, with the world's public sector movers and shakers watching, including totalitarians, tyrants, dictators and despots, what happens in the U.S. is viewed as acceptable conduct and copied.

This is undeniably a watershed moment in history, for the health of 21st century democracy hangs in the balance.